

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,369	09/22/2003	Hee-Sok Pang	053785-5151	4918
9629	7590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		TW .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINDIMINOI	71, 20 20001		2879	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AU		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1/0		
Office Action Summer	10/665,369	PANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the control of the	Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH to cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this con DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status			•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> .  a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoskawa (US 20020011783) in view of Watanabe (US 3622829).
- 1. In regard to claim 1, Hoskawa ('783) teaches a transmissive-type organic electroluminescent display device (61-67) comprising a substrate (10) including sub-pixel regions thereon (31; paragraph 14), an array element in each sub-pixel area that includes thin film transistors (14); a partition wall at a border portion between adjacent sub-pixel regions made of an insulating material (25; figure 3; paragraph 160); a first electrode (22) made of a transparent conductive material in each sub-pixel region between adjacent partition walls (paragraph 21); an organic electroluminescent layer on the first electrode in each sub-pixel region between the adjacent partition walls (24); a second electrode (20) made of a transparent conductive material on the organic electroluminescent layer (paragraph 14); and a passivation layer covering the second electrode (58; figure 8; paragraphs 93 and 94). Hoskawa ('783) dose not teach that the partition

Page 3

wall is made of a transparent material. Watanabe ('829) teaches a partitioning wall made of a insulating and a transparent material (column 2 lines 30-35) It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Watanabe's ('829) transparent wall with Hoskawa's ('783) EL device in order to produce a brighter display device.

- 2. In regard to claim 2, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the organic electroluminescent layer is made of a high molecular material (paragraphs 116-117).
- 3. In regard to claim 3, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the partition wall forms an opening having a rectangular shape corresponding to the sub-pixel region (figure 4b; paragraphs 151-152).
- 4. In regard to claim 6, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the partition wall is formed only in a first direction at a border portion between adjacent sub-pixels (figure 3).
- 5. In regard to claim 8, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the organic electroluminescent layer is formed by an ink jet method (paragraph 287).
- 6. In regard to claim 9, Hoskawa ('783) teaches a method of forming a fluorescent layer by roll coating (paragraph 244).
- 7. In regard to claim 10, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the partition wall made of an organic material (paragraph 202). Watanabe ('829) teaches a partitioning wall made of a insulating and a transparent material (column 2 lines 30-35). Motivation for combining is the same as above.

Art Unit: 2879

8. In regard to claim 11, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the first electrode is an anode electrode (paragraph 190) and the second electrode is a cathode electrode (paragraph 166), where in the second electrode includes a metallic thin film having a low work function contacting the organic electroluminescent layer (paragraphs 166 and 168).

- 9. In regard to claim 12, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the metallic thin film includes aluminum (paragraph 168).
- 10. In regard to claim 13, Hoskawa ('783) teaches an electrode made of indium zinc oxide (paragraph 138).
- In regard to claim 14, Hoskawa ('783) teaches a transmissive-type organic electroluminescent display device comprising a substrate (10) including sub-pixel regions (31); a first electrode (22) made of a transparent conductive material (paragraph 21); a partition wall (25) made of an insulating material at a border portion between adjacent sub-pixel regions (figure 3; paragraphs 14 and 160); an organic electroluminescent layer in each sub-pixel region (24) between adjacent partition walls; and a second electrode (20) made of a transparent conductive material (paragraphs 21 and 93-94) on the organic electroluminescent layer between the adjacent partition walls (figure 3). Hoskawa ('783) dose not teach that the partition wall is made of a transparent material. Watanabe ('829) teaches a partitioning wall made of a insulating and a transparent material (column 2 lines 30-35) It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Watanabe's ('829) transparent wall with Hoskawa's ('783) EL device in order to produce a brighter display device.

Art Unit: 2879

12. In regard to claim 15, Hoskawa ('783) teaches both electrodes made of indium zinc oxide (paragraphs 38, 138 and 191).

- 13. In regard to claim 16, Hoskawa ('783) teaches a method of fabricating a transmissive type organic electroluminescent device comprising: forming array elements having thin film transistors (14) in a sub-pixel regions (31) or a substrate (10; paragraph 14); forming a partition wall (25) at a border portion between adjacent sub-pixel regions (paragraph 160), that partition wall being made of an insulating material (paragraph 160); forming a first electrode in each sub-pixel region between adjacent partition walls (paragraph 160), the first electrode being made of a first transparent conductive material (paragraph 21); forming an organic electroluminescent layer (24) on the first electrode between the adjacent partition walls, the organic electroluminescent layer being made of a high molecular material (paragraphs 116-17, 93); forming a second electrode on the entire substrate (paragraph 93 and 43), mad of a second transparent conductive material (paragraph 21); and encapsulating the substrate (58; figure 8) including the second electrode by forming a passivation layer there on (paragraph 69). Hoskawa ('783) dose not teach that the partition wall is made of a transparent material. Watanabe ('829) teaches a partitioning wall made of a insulating and a transparent material (column 2 lines 30-35) It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Watanabe's ('829) transparent wall with Hoskawa's ('783) EL device in order to produce a brighter display device.
- 14. In regard to claim 17, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the organic electroluminescent layer is formed by an ink jet method (paragraph 287).

Art Unit: 2879

15. In regard to claim 18, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the partition wall made of an organic material (paragraph 202). Watanabe ('829) teaches a partitioning wall made of a insulating and a transparent material (column 2 lines 30-35). Motivation for combining is the same as above.

- 16. In regard to claim 19, Hoskawa ('783) teaches both electrodes made of indium zinc oxide (paragraphs 38, 138 and 191).
- 17. Claims 4, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe ('829) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Morii et al (US 20020109456).
- 18. In regard to claim 4, Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe ('829) discloses all the limitations set forth, as described above, except that the partition wall forms an opening having a circular shape corresponding to the sub-pixel region. Morii ('456) teaches the partition wall forming an opening having a circular shape corresponding to the sub-pixel region (paragraph 47; figure 1). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to combine Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe ('829) and in further view of Morii et al ('456) so that the ink lies well in the sub-pixel sections (paragraph 47).
- 19. In regard to claim 5, Hoskawa ('783) teaches the organic electroluminescent layer is formed by an ink jet method (paragraph 287).
- 20. In regard to claim 9, Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe ('829) discloses all the limitations set forth, as described above, except the partition wall has a thickness within a range of 1μm to 8 μm. Morii

Art Unit: 2879

et all ('456) teaches the thickness of an organic partition wall is 2 µm. Motivation for combining is the

same as above.

21. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoskawa ('783) in view

of Watanabe ('829) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shi et al (US 6107736).

22. Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe ('829) discloses all the limitations set forth, as described

above, except a roll coating method forms the organic electroluminescent layer. Shi et al ('736) teaches

forming an electroluminescent layer by a roll coating method. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Hoskawa ('783) in view of Watanabe

('829) and in further view of Shi et al ('736) in order to apply the electroluminescent layer more

accurately.

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Elizabeth A. Rielley whose telephone number is 571-272-2117. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

Application/Control Number: 10/665,369

**Art Unit: 2879** 

Page 8

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 2879